NOTE: no report was compiled for publication in the ODP Initial or Scientific Results volumes because, due to malfunction of the GST component of the geochemical tool string at Hole 899B, only gamma ray spectroscopy and aluminum data could be acquired. The following report provides general information about the physics of the tool string and the processing performed for the available data.

**Geochemical Tool String**

The Schlumberger geochemical tool string consists of four logging tools: the natural gamma-ray tool (NGT) the compensated neutron tool (CNT), the aluminum activation clay tool (AACT), and the gamma-ray spectrometry tool (see figure below). The natural gamma-ray tool is located at the top of the tool string, so that it can measure the naturally occurring radio nuclides, Th, U, and K, before the formation is irradiated by the nuclear sources contained in the other tools below. The compensated neutron tool, located below the natural gamma-ray tool, carries a low-energy californium source ($^{252}$Cf) to activate the Al atoms in the formation. The aluminum activation clay tool below subtracts out the aluminum activation background radiation and a reading of formation Al is obtained (Scott and Smith, 1973).
The gamma-ray spectrometry tool, at the base of the string, carries a pulsed neutron generator to bombard the borehole and formation and an NaI(Tl) scintillation detector, which measures the spectrum of gamma rays generated by neutron-capture reactions. Because each of the elements measured (silicon, iron, calcium, titanium, sulfur, gadolinium, and potassium) is characterized by a unique spectral signature, it is possible to derive the contribution (or yield) of each of them to the measured spectrum and, in turn, to estimate their abundance in the formation. The GST also measures the hydrogen and chlorine in the borehole and formation, but the signal for these elements is almost entirely due to seawater in the borehole, and they are hence of little value.

The only major rock-forming elements not measured by the geochemical tool string are magnesium and sodium; the neutron-capture cross-sections of these elements are too small relative to their typical abundance for the tool string to detect them. A rough estimate of Mg+Na can be made using the photoelectric factor (PEF) measured by the lithodensity tool. This measured PEF is compared with a calculated PEF (a summation of the PEF from all of the measured elements). The separation between the measured and calculated PEF is, in theory, attributable to any element left over in the formation (i.e., Mg and Na). Further explanation of this technique is found in Hertzog et al. (1989). This calculation could not be implemented on the geochemical data from this leg due to the invalid GST data.

**Data Reduction**

The well log data from the Schlumberger tools have been transmitted digitally up a wireline and recorded on the JOIDES Resolution in the Schlumberger Cyber Service Unit (CSU). The results from the CSU have been processed to correct for the effects of drilling fluids, logging speed, and pipe interference. Processing of the spectrometry data is required to transform the relative elemental yields into oxide weight fractions. The processing is performed with a set of log interpretation programs written by Schlumberger that have been modified to account for the lithologies and hole conditions encountered in ODP holes. The processing steps are summarized below:

**Step 1: Reconstruction of relative elemental yields from recorded spectral data**

This step was completely skipped because no elemental yields could be acquired with the GST tool.

**Step 2: Depth-shifting**

Geochemical processing involves the integration of data from the different tool strings; consequently, it is important that all the data are depth-correlated to one reference logging run. The NGT, run on each of the logging tool strings, provides a spectral gamma-ray curve with which to correlate each of the logging runs. A reference run is chosen on the bases of constant, low cable tension and high cable speed (tools run at faster speeds are less likely to stick and are less susceptible to data degradation caused by ship heave). The depth-shifting procedure involves picking a number of reference points based on similar log character and then invoking a program which expands and compresses the matching logging run to fit the reference logging run. The geochemical tool data matched to the reference run DIT/DSI/NGT.

**Step 3: Calculation of total radioactivity and Th, U, and K concentrations**

The third processing routine calculates the total natural gamma radiation in the formation as well as concentrations of Th, U, and K, using the counts in five spectral windows from the natural gamma-ray tool (Lock and Hoyer, 1971). This resembles shipboard processing, except that corrections for hole-size changes are made in the shore-based processing of these curves. A Kalman filter (Ruckebusch, 1983) is applied to minimize the statistical uncertainties in the logs, which would otherwise create erroneous negative readings and anti-correlation (especially between Th and U). At each depth level calculations and corrections also were performed for K contained in the mud.
This K correction is particularly useful where KCl is routinely added to the hole: because of dispersion, however, it is difficult to know exactly how much K is in the borehole. The outputs of this program are: K (wt %), U (ppm), and Th (ppm), along with a total gamma-ray curve and a computed gamma-ray curve (total gamma-ray minus U contribution).

The processed gamma-ray data are loaded in the files 899B-ngt-act.dat.

Step 4: Calculation of Al concentration

The fourth processing routine calculates an Al curve using four energy windows, while concurrently correct for natural activity, borehole fluid neutron-capture cross-section, formation neutron-capture cross-section, formation slowing-down length, and borehole size. Porosity and density logs are needed in this routine to convert the wet weight percent K and Al curves to dry weight percent. No information could be found regarding the density/porosity logs used in the calculation.

A correction is also made for Si interference with Al; the $^{252}$Cf source activates the Si, producing the aluminum isotope, $^{28}$Al (Hertzog et al., 1989). The program uses the Si yield from the gamma-ray spectrometry tool to determine the Si background correction. The program outputs dry weight percentages of Al and K, which are used in the calculation and normalization of the remaining elements.

The output weight percent concentrations of Al and K are normally input into an oxide closure model with the other GST-derived elements. As no useful GST data were obtained in Hole 899B the processing moves straight on to the final step.

Step 5: Calculation of oxide percentages

The final routine converts the elemental weight percentages into oxide percentages by multiplying each by its associated oxide factor, as shown in Table 1. The outputs are in the files 899B-oxides.dat.

Table 1. Oxide factors used in normalizing elements to 100% and converting elements to oxides.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Oxide</th>
<th>Conversion factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>$K_2O$</td>
<td>1.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>$Al_2O_3$</td>
<td>1.889</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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