Geochemical Tool String

The Schlumberger geochemical tool string consists of four logging tools: the natural gamma-ray tool (NGT) the compensated neutron tool (CNT), the aluminum activation clay tool (AACT), and the gamma-ray spectrometry tool (see figure below). The natural gamma-ray tool is located at the top of the tool string, so that it can measure the naturally occurring radio nuclides, Th, U, and K, before the formation is irradiated by the nuclear sources contained in the other tools below. The compensated neutron tool, located below the natural gamma-ray tool, carries a low-energy californium source ($^{252}$Cf) to activate the Al atoms in the formation. The aluminum activation clay background radiation is subtracted out by the aluminum activation clay tool below and a reading of formation Al is obtained (Scott and Smith, 1973).

The gamma-ray spectrometry tool, at the base of the string, carries a pulsed neutron generator to bombard the borehole and formation and an NaI(Tl) scintillation detector, which measures the spectrum of gamma-rays generated by neutron-capture reactions. Because each of the elements...
measured (silicon, iron, calcium, titanium, sulfur, gadolinium, and potassium) is characterized by a unique spectral signature, it is possible to derive the contribution (or yield) of each of them to the measured spectrum and, in turn, to estimate their abundance in the formation. The GST also measures the hydrogen and chlorine in the borehole and formation, but the signal for these elements is almost entirely due to seawater in the borehole, and they are hence of little value.

The only major rock-forming elements not measured by the geochemical tool string are magnesium and sodium; the neutron-capture cross-sections of these elements are too small relative to their typical abundance for the tool string to detect them. A rough estimate of Mg+Na can be made by using the photoelectric factor (PEF) measured by the lithodensity tool. This measured PEF is compared with a calculated PEF (a summation of the PEF from all of the measured elements). The separation between the measured and calculated PEF is, in theory, attributable to any element left over in the formation (i.e., Mg, and Na). Further explanation of this technique is found in Hertzog et al. (1989). This Mg+Na calculation was not performed for Leg 185 data because of the high variance in the data due to the poor hole conditions.

**Data Reduction**

The well log data from the Schlumberger tools have been transmitted digitally up a wireline and recorded on the JOIDES Resolution in the Schlumberger Cyber Service Unit (CSU). The results from the CSU have been processed to correct for the effects of drilling fluids, logging speed, and pipe interference. Processing of the spectrometry data is required to transform the relative elemental yields into oxide weight fractions. The processing is performed with a set of log interpretation programs written by Schlumberger that have been modified to account for the lithologies and hole conditions encountered in ODP holes. The processing steps are summarized below:

**Step 1: Reconstruction of relative elemental yields from recorded spectral data**

The first processing step uses a weighted least-squares method to compare the measured spectra from the geochemical spectrometry tool with a series of standard spectra in order to determine the relative contribution (or yield) of each element. Whereas six elemental standards (Si, Fe, Ca, S, Cl, and H) are used to produce the shipboard yields, three additional standards (Ti, Gd, and K) can be included in the shore-based processing to improve the fit of the spectral standards to the measured spectra (Grau and Schweitzer, 1989). Although these additional elements often appear in the formation in very low concentrations, they can make a large contribution to the measured spectra, because they have large neutron-capture cross-sections. For example, the capture cross-section of Gd is 49,000 barns, that of Si 0.16 barns (Hertzog et al., 1989). Gd is, therefore, included in the calculation of a best fit between the measured and the standard spectra. This best-fit analysis was done for the elements in Hole 1149B to include spectral standards for Si, Ca, Fe, Ti, Gd, H, and Cl.

The recomputed yields are loaded in the files 1149B-yieldsu.dat and 1149B-yieldslspl.dat.

**Step 2: Depth-shifting**

Geochemical processing involves the integration of data from the different tool strings; consequently, it is important that all the data are depth-correlated to one reference logging run. A total gamma-ray curve (from the gamma-ray tool, which is run on each tool string) is usually chosen as a reference curve, based on cable tension (the logging run with the least amount of cable sticking) and cable speed (tools run at faster speeds are less likely to stick). For Hole 1149B, the depth reference run was taken from the DITE/APS/HLDS/HNGS tool string.

**Step 3: Calculation of total radioactivity and Th, U, and K concentrations**
The third processing routine calculates the total natural gamma radiation in the formation as well as concentrations of Th, U, and K, using the counts in five spectral windows from the natural gamma-ray tool (Lock and Hoyer, 1971). This resembles shipboard processing, except that corrections for hole-size changes are made in the shore-based processing of these curves. A Kalman filter (Ruckebusch, 1983) is applied to minimize the statistical uncertainties in the logs, which would otherwise create erroneous negative readings and anti-correlation (especially between Th and U). At each depth level calculations and corrections also were performed for K contained in the mud. This K correction is particularly useful where KCl is routinely added to the hole: because of dispersion, however, it is difficult to know exactly how much K is in the borehole. The outputs of this program are: K (wet wt %), U (ppm), and Th (ppm), along with a total gamma-ray curve and a computed gamma-ray curve (total gamma-ray minus U contribution).

The processed gamma-ray data are loaded in the files 1149B-ngtu.dat and 1149B-ntgl.dat.

Step 4: Calculation of Al concentration

The fourth processing routine calculates an Al curve using four energy windows, while concurrently correct for natural activity, borehole fluid neutron-capture cross-section, formation neutron-capture cross-section, formation slowing-down length, and borehole size. Porosity and density logs are needed in this routine to convert the wet weight percent K and Al curves to dry weight percent. A correction is also made for Si interference with Al; the $^{252}$Cf source activates the Si, producing the aluminum isotope, $^{28}$Al (Hertzog et al., 1989). The program uses the Si yield from the gamma-ray spectrometry tool to determine the Si background correction. The program outputs dry weight percentages of Al and K, which are used in the calculation and normalization of the remaining elements.

Step 5: Normalization of elemental yields from the GST to calculate the elemental weight fractions

This routine combines the dry weight percentages of Al and K with the reconstructed yields to obtain dry weight percentages of the GST elements using the relationship:

$$ W_i = \frac{F \cdot Y_i}{S_i} $$

where
- $W_i$ = dry weight percentage of the i-th element
- $F$ = normalization factor determined at each depth interval
- $Y_i$ = relative elemental yield for the i-th element
- $S_i$ = relative weight percentage (spectral) sensitivity of the i-th element

The normalization factor, $F$, is a calibration factor determined at each depth from a closure argument to account for the number of neutrons captured by a specific concentration of rock elements. Because the sum of oxides in a rock is 100%, $F$ is given by

$$ F \left( \sum X_i \cdot Y_i / S_i \right) + X_K \cdot W_K + X_{Al} \cdot W_{Al} = 100 $$

where
- $X_i$ = factor for the element to oxide (or carbonate) conversion
- $X_K$ = factor for the conversion of K to K$_2$O (1.205)
- $X_{Al}$ = factor for the conversion of Al to Al$_2$O$_3$ (1.889)
- $W_K$ = dry weight percentage of K determined from natural activity
- $W_{Al}$ = dry weight percentage of Al determined from the activation measurement
The sensitivity factor, Si, is a tool constant measured in the laboratory, which depends on the capture cross-section, gamma-ray production, and detection probabilities of each element measured by the GST (Hertzog et al., 1989).

The factors Xi are simply element to oxide (or carbonate, sulfate) conversion coefficients and effectively include the O, C or S bound with each element. In processing the GLT data the correct choice of Xi is important in the closure algorithm described above and requires geological input. In most lithologies the elements measured by the tool occur in silicates where the compositions can be expressed completely as oxides.

With carbonate or carbonate-rich lithologies the measured calcium is more likely to be present as CaCO$_3$ (XCa: 2.497) than as the oxide (CaO; XCa: 1.399). A good indication of the choice of calcium conversion factors can often be gained from shipboard X-ray diffraction (XRD) and CaCO$_3$ measurements, which estimate acid-liberated CaCO$_3$. In the absence of suitable shipboard data a rough rule of thumb is generally used such that if elemental Ca is below 6% then all Ca is assumed to be in silicate, above 12%, in carbonate. Ca concentrations between these figures are converted using linear interpolation. On Hole 1149B, a constant Ca factor of 2.497 was used, assuming the majority of calcium to be in the form of calcium carbonate.

Steps 6-7: Calculation of oxide percentages and statistical uncertainty

These routines convert the elemental weight percentages into oxide percentages by multiplying each by its associated oxide factor (Table 1); finally the statistical uncertainty of each element is calculated, using methods described by Grau et al. (1990) and Schweitzer et al. (1988). This error is strongly related to the normalization factor, F, which is calculated at each depth level. A lower normalization factor represents better counting statistics and therefore higher quality data. On this hole the main pass displayed very high and variable errors.

The oxide weight percentages are loaded in the files 1149B-oxidesu.dat and 1149B-oxideslsp.dat. The statistical uncertainties are loaded in the files 1149B-oxieru.dat and 1149B-elerru.dat 1149B-oxierlsp.dat and 1149B-elerrlsp.dat. Core data are loaded in 1149B-core.dat.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Oxide/carbonate</th>
<th>Conversion factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>2.139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>CaCO$_3$</td>
<td>2.497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>FeO*</td>
<td>1.358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>K$_2$O</td>
<td>1.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>1.668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>1.889</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Steps 8: Splicing of final curves

After a main pass (upper and lower section) of the Geochemical tool was run in Hole 1149B, a repeat pass was recorded over the lower portion of the hole within a zone that showed poor data quality in the first logging pass. This repeat pass yielded higher quality data and was therefore spliced into the main pass. The final logs from the lower section have therefore been spliced as follows:
118.5-348.5 mbsf from main pass
348.5-380 mbsf from repeat pass
380-383 mbsf from main pass.
On the other hand, the NGT logs (thorium, uranium, and potassium) are from the main pass only. In fact, the repeat pass of NGT, which reads the natural radioactivity of the formation, was affected by the activation experienced during the main logging run, thus yielding higher values.
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